

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms Housing and Development Board at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable

Wed 10 Nov, 2021 - 1:29 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Sydney/Shanghai - 10 Nov 2021: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Singapore-based Housing and Development Board's (HDB) Long-Term Foreign- and Local-Currency Issuer Default Ratings at 'AAA' with a Stable Outlook. Fitch has also affirmed HDB's SGD32 billion medium-term note programme and the notes directly issued by HDB from the programme at 'AAA'.

Fitch classifies HDB as a government-related entity (GRE) under our Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria and equalises its ratings with those of the Singapore sovereign (AAA/Stable). HDB is a statutory board under Singapore's Ministry of National Development (MND) and develops public housing under the direction of the MND to implement government policies. The rating affirmation reflects HDB's strong links to the sovereign.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Status, Ownership and Control: 'Very Strong'

As a statutory board, HDB is required to implement policies and comply with instructions from the MND and other government ministries and departments. Its board and CEO are appointed by the Minister for National Development, subject to the President of Singapore's concurrence. HDB's dissolution and the transfer of its liabilities would be done via legislation, as it is established by statute. The government maintains

tight control of HDB and closely monitors and directs its operations, including the approval of its annual budget.

Support Track Record: 'Very Strong'

We regard the strong regulatory support framework as the major driver of this assessment, despite the absence of a government guarantee. HDB receives consistent and significant financial support from the government by way of grants and debt funding to support its operation. Its activities are policy-driven and the entity's deficits are fully financed by annual government grants. This also protects the reserves of past governments in accordance with Singapore's Constitution. Government funding for HDB is reflected in the national budget and is approved by the Minister for Finance.

The government also provides HDB with a housing development loan facility to fund its operations and development programmes as well as mortgage and upgrading financing loans to help it provide assistance to the purchasers of flats under public-housing schemes and to lessees of upgraded flats. Government loans accounted for 58% of HDB's interest-bearing debt in the financial year ended March 2021.

Socio-Political Implications of Default: 'Very Strong'

HDB was established in 1960 to address Singapore's housing shortage and improve the quality of public housing. The entity is the country's sole agency in charge of public housing and is involved in the planning, design and construction process, the implementation of public-housing policy and related social policies as well as the provision of commercial and social amenities for residents. HDB also provides mortgage financing to eligible home buyers. HDB's dominant role in the housing market would be difficult to substitute should it default, with significant social and political repercussions.

Financial Implications of Default: 'Very Strong'

We regard HDB as a proxy funding vehicle for the government, as the mortgage loans it provides under Singapore's public-housing scheme are financed by the government, which also routinely absorbs HDB's losses. HDB is also a large and frequent issuer of Singapore-dollar bonds to fund its operations, with total bonds outstanding of SGD25 billion at September 2021. We believe its default would have significant repercussions for the availability of debt market funding for the country's public sector, providing the government with a 'Very Strong' incentive to provide support, if needed.

Derivation Summary

We classify HDB as an entity linked to the Singapore sovereign under our GRE criteria and apply a top-down rating approach based on our assessment of the strength of its linkage with the sovereign and the government's incentive to support the entity.

The ratings reflect the tight integration of HDB in Singapore's housing policy and the high importance of these policies to the city-state's general strategy, given the population density and the scarcity of space in the country. We believe HDB acts as a quasi-sovereign entity and is key in the implementation of the government's public housing and social policies, acting under the direction and on behalf of the government.

The ratings are derived from the 'Very Strong' assessments for each of the four linkage and support factors under our GRE criteria, which results in a maximum support score of 60 and leads to HDB's ratings being equalised to those of the sovereign.

The ratings are assigned without an assessment of a Standalone Credit Profile (SCP) on the basis of HDB's close ties with the government and our view of the high likelihood of government support, if needed. We therefore consider that HDB's credit profile reflects that of the sovereign.

Issuer Profile

HDB, as Singapore's public-housing authority, is the sole entity under the MND in charge of public housing. It also provides financing to eligible public housing buyers. About 80% of Singapore's population lives in public housing provided by HDB, of which 90% hold the ownership of their flats.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

A sovereign downgrade, or a significant weakening in our assessment of HDB's linkages to the government or the government's incentive to provide support to the entity, which results in an overall support score of below 45 under our GRE criteria.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

The ratings are at the highest level on Fitch's rating scale and cannot be upgraded.

PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

The ratings of HDB are linked to the Singapore sovereign.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of 3 - ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on our ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/site/esg

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

References for Substantially Material Source Cited as Key Driver Rating

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			PRIOR
Housing and Development Board	LT IDR	AAA Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AAA Rating Outlook Stable
●	LC LT IDR	AAA Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AAA Rating Outlook Stable
● senior unsecured	LT	AAA	Affirmed	AAA

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Paul Norris

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+61 2 8256 0326

paul.norris@fitchratings.com

Fitch Australia Pty Ltd

Suite 15.01, Level 15 135 King Street Sydney 2000

Ark Huang

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+86 21 6898 7997

ark.huang@fitchratings.com

Guilhem Costes

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+34 91 076 1986

guilhem.costes@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS**Peter Hoflich**

Singapore

+65 6796 7229

peter.hoflich@thefitgroup.com

Leslie Tan

Singapore

+65 6796 7234

leslie.tan@thefitgroup.com

Alanis Ko

Hong Kong

+852 2263 9953

alanis.ko@thefitgroup.com

Wai Lun Wan

Hong Kong

+852 2263 9935

wailun.wan@thefitgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

[Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria \(pub. 30 Sep 2020\)](#)

[Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria \(pub. 02 Sep 2021\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Housing and Development Board

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE OR ANCILLARY SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF PERMISSIBLE

SERVICE(S) FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN ESMA- OR FCA- REGISTERED FITCH RATINGS COMPANY (OR BRANCH OF SUCH A COMPANY) OR ANCILLARY SERVICE(S) CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

[READ LESS](#)

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2021 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty

of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those

subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be.

Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

International Public Finance Supranationals, Subnationals, and Agencies Asia-Pacific

Singapore
